IUNGERICH & SPACKMAN
A Professional Law Corporation
Russell lungerich, SBN 43440
Paul Spackman, SBN 149754
Suite 201, Almar Plaza
28441 Highridge Road

ENDORSED

MAR 2 2003

By J. Cotter, Deputy

Rolling Hills Estates, California 90274-4869 Telephone: (310)697-0288

Attorneys for Petitioner MICHAEL M. FANOUS, D.P.M.

# SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

MICHAEL M. FANOUS, D.P.M.,

Petitioner,

v.

б

BOARD OF PODIATRIC MEDICINE,

Respondent.

Case No. 03CS00075

Proposed
JUDGMENT GRANTING
PEREMPTORY WRIT OF
ADMINISTRATIVE MANDATE

This matter having been bifurcated for a hearing on the merits of whether respondent BOARD acted in excess of its jurisdiction in granting reconsideration of its Decision and Order in BOARD Case No. 1B-2000-116596, dated February 19, 2002, which was to have become effective March 21, 2002, and all other issues raised in the Verified Petition for a Writ of Administrative Mandate having been reserved for reasons of judicial economy if this single issue were to be dispositive, the telephonic hearing on the bifurcated jurisdictional issue came regularly before this Court on Friday, February 21, 2003, on petitioner FANOUS's verified petition for a peremptory writ of administrative mandate against respondent BOARD OF PODIATRIC MEDICINE. Russell Iungerich of IUNGERICH & SPACKMAN, A Professional Law Corporation, appeared as attorneys for petitioner MICHAEL M. FANOUS, D.P.M. Bill Lockyer, Attorney General of the State of California, by Mia Perez-Argote, Deputy Attorney General, appeared as attorneys for respondent BOARD OF PODIATRIC MEDICINE. The verified petition for administrative mandate with its

attached exhibits, and the briefs of all parties supporting and opposing the petition for a peremptory writ of administrative mandate against respondent BOARD on the jurisdictional issue only were received and reviewed by the Court. Thereafter this Court heard oral argument of all parties. This Court then ordered further briefing by the parties. After that further briefing was received and reviewed, this Court affirmed its tentative ruling to grant the petition for a writ of mandate. The tentative ruling and this COURT'S RULING ON SUBMITTED MATTER dated February 27, 2003, which modified the tentative ruling and both of which rulings are attached to this judgment and incorporated herein by this reference, constitute this Court's Statement of Decision. Pursuant to that Statement of Decision, this Court grants Dr. FANOUS'S petition for a peremptory writ of administrative mandate pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 1094.5, and

# IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that:

- 1. The petition for a writ of administrative mandate is granted;
- 2. A peremptory writ of administrative mandate shall issue remanding this matter to respondent BOARD OF PODIATRIC MEDICINE, and commanding respondent BOARD as follows:
- (1) to vacate and set aside your DECISION FOLLOWING ORDER GRANTING RECONSIDERATION dated November 20, 2002, effective December 20, 2002, in Board of Podiatric Medicine Case No. 1B-2000-116596, OAH No. L-2001070233, purporting to reinstate petitioner FANOUS's license to practice podiatric medicine and placing him on probation for 25 years after May 1, 2003 if yet another psychiatric evaluation determines that he is mentally fit to resume the practice of podiatric medicine;
- (2) to reinstate your Decision and Order in the same case dated February 19, 2002, which was to have become effective March 21, 2002. had reconsideration not been unlawfully granted;
  - (3) to restore his Podiatric Medical License No. E-3544 to a clear status, and
- (4) to withdraw or rescind any posting(s) on the internet and/or filings with the National Practitioner Data Bank based on the decision and order here commanded to

1	be vacated.				
2	3. Petitioner FANOUS shall recover his costs against the MEDICAL				
3	BOARD OF CALIFORNIA in the amount of \$				
4	Dated: March $\frac{25}{}$ , 2003.				
5	TRENA H. BURGER-PLAVAN				
6	Honorable TRENA H. BURGER-PLAVAN				
7	Judge of the Superior Court				
8	Approved as to form: BILL LOCKYER Attorney General				
10	By mus Beren - argote/OHD				
11	8 8/412 PAPPZ ATUNITY W				
12	Deputy Attorney General				
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
	JUDGMENT GRANTING PEREMPTORY WRIT OF ADMINISTRATIVE MANDATE				

IUNGERICH & SPACKMAN
A Professional Law Corporation
Russell Iungerich, SBN 43440
Paul Spackman, SBN 149754
Suite 201, Almar Plaza
28441 Highridge Road
Rolling Hills Estates, California 90274-4869
Telephone: (310) 697-0288

Attorneys for Petitioner MICHAEL M. FANOUS, D.P.M.

### SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

MICHAEL M. FANOUS, D.P.M.,

Case No. 03CS00075

Petitioner,

v.

BOARD OF PODIATRIC MEDICINE,

Respondent.

PEREMPTORY WRIT OF ADMINISTRATIVE MANDATE

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, TO THE BOARD OF PODIATRIC MEDICINE, RESPONDENT:

GOOD CAUSE APPEARING from the verified petition for writ of administrative mandate in this proceeding and from the hearing held on the verified petition for the issuance of a peremptory writ, and judgment having been entered in this action ordering a peremptory writ of administrative mandate be issued from this Court, this matter is remanded to respondent, and

YOU ARE HEREBY COMMANDED immediately on receipt of this writ:

(1) to vacate and set aside your DECISION FOLLOWING ORDER GRANTING RECONSIDERATION dated November 20, 2002, effective December 20, 2002, in Board of Podiatric Medicine Case No. 1B-2000-116596, OAH No. L-2001070233, purporting to reinstate

petitioner FANOUS's license to practice podiatric medicine and placing him on probation for 25 years after May 1, 2003 if yet another psychiatric evaluation determines that he is mentally fit to resume the practice of podiatric medicine;

- to reinstate your Decision and Order in the same case dated February 19, 2002, which was to have become effective March 21, 2002, had reconsideration not been unlawfully granted;
  - to restore his Podiatric Medical License No. E-3544 to a clear status,
- (4) to withdraw or rescind any posting(s) on the internet and/or filings with the National Practitioner Data Bank based on the decision and order here commanded to be vacated.

YOU ARE FURTHER COMMANDED to make and file a return to this writ within sixty (60) days of its service upon you, which return shall set forth what you have done to comply. Dated: March 2, 2003.



SACRAMENTO SUPERIOR COURT

By: Deputy Cler

Attorney General of California

Deputy Attorney General

PROOF OF SERVICE BY FEDERAL EXPRESS STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES I am over the age of 18 years, employed in the County of Los Angeles, and not a party to the within action; my business address is 28441 Highridge Road, Rolling Hills Estates, California 90274-4871. I am employed by a member of the bar of this court. On March 18, 2003, I served the within PEREMPTORY WRIT OF ADMINISTRATIVE MANDATE in said action by placing a true copy of this document in a Federal Express package, addressed as follows, and entrusted the same with Federal Express at Rolling Hills Estates, California for next day delivery: Mia Perez-Argote, D.A.G. Office of the Attorney General 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 5212 Los Angeles, CA 90013 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 18th day of March, 2003, at Rolling Hills Estates, California. 

FANOUS

#### **Proof of Service**

I, Jesus Counts And, certify and declare as follows:

I am a citizen of the United States and employed in Sacramento County, I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to this action. My business address is 400 Capitol Mall, Suite 1100, Sacramento, California 95814.

On April 1, 2003, at 11:26 a.m., I delivered a true and correct copy of the document(s) set forth below in the manner indicated.

(xx) By serving: By serving a copy of the document(s) to the person(s) at the address(es) listed below.

Case Number: 03CS00075

Document(s) Served:

1) Peremptory Writ of Administrative Mandate

Individual(s) Served:

Jim Rathlessberger, Executive Officer Board of Podiatric Medicine 1420 Howe Ave., Suite 8 Sacramento, CA 95825

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on April 2, 2003, at Sacramento, California

Capitol Mall Courier Services 400 Capitol Mall, Suite 1100 Sacramento, CA 95814

### **Proof of Service**

I, Jewal Carib And, certify and declare as follows:

I am a citizen of the United States and employed in Sacramento County, I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to this action. My business address is 400 Capitol Mall, Suite 1100, Sacramento, California 95814.

On April 1, 2003, at 11:26 a.m., I delivered a true and correct copy of the document(s) set forth below in the manner indicated.

(xx) By serving: By serving a copy of the document(s) to the person(s) at the address(es) listed below.

Case Number: 03CS00075

Document(s) Served:

1) Peremptory Writ of Administrative Mandate

### Individual(s) Served:

Jim Rathlessberger, Executive Officer Board of Podiatric Medicine 1420 Howe Ave., Suite 8 Sacramento, CA 95825

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on April 2, 2003, at Sacramento, California.

Capitol Mall Courier Services 400 Capitol Mall, Suite 1100 Sacramento, CA 95814

1	IUNGERICH & SPACKMAN				
2	A Professional Law Corporation Russell Iungerich, SBN #43440				
3	28441 Highridge Road, Suite 201  Rolling Hills Estates, CA 90274-4869				
4	Telephone: (310) 697-0288 Fax: (310) 697-0289				
5	Attorneys for Petitioner MICHAEL M. FANOUS, D.P.M.				
6	MICHAEL	M. PANOUS, D.F.M.			
7					
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES				
9	MICHAEL	M. FANOUS, D.P.M.	Case No. 03CS00075		
10	Petiti		NOTICE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT		
11	vs.				
12	BOARD OF PODIATRIC MEDICINE )				
13   14	Respondent.				
15	TO: MIA PEREZ-ARGOTE, DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL:				
16	NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on March 27, 2003 the Court entered judgment				
17	in favor of Petitioner, Michael M. Fanous, D.P.M., as follows (copy attached):				
18	1.	The petition for a writ of adm	inistrative mandate is granted;		
19	2.		rative mandate shall issue remanding this matter		
20		to respondent BOARD OF	PODIATRIC MEDICINE, and commanding		
21		respondent BOARD as follow			
22	(1)		ECISION FOLLOWING ORDER GRANTING		
23			1 November 20, 2002, effective December 20,		
24			Medicine Case No. 1B-2000-116596, OAH No.		
25			to reinstate petitioner FANOUS's license to		
26		•	and placing him on probation for 25 years after		
27		May 1, 2003 if yet another	r psychiatric evaluation determines that he is		
28		mentally fit to resume the pro-	actice of podiatric medicine;		

-1-

1	(2)	to reinstate your Decision and Order in the same case dated February 19			
2		2002, which was to have become effective March 21, 2002, had			
3		reconsideration not been unlawfully granted;			
4	(3)	to restore his Podiatric Medical License No. E-3544 to a clear status, and			
5	(4)	to withdraw or rescind any posting(s) on the internet and/or filings with th			
6		National Practitioner Data Bank based on the decision and order her			
7		commanded to be vacated.			
8	DATED: March 31, 2003				
9					
10		Respectfully submitted,			
11		A Professional Law Corporation			
12		By Mussell Turguely			
13		Russell Iungerich Attorneys for Respondent			
14		MICHAEL M. FANOUS, D.P.M.			
15	cc: Jennevee De Guzman, DAG				
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26		,			
27					
28					

- 2 -